

Wealth Insights

Personal Newsletter from The Riedl Group



SUMMER 2024



The Riedl Group

National Bank Financial
Wealth Management

John Riedl, CFA®

Senior Wealth Advisor &
Portfolio Manager

Leigh Kershner, CIM®

Wealth Advisor &
Portfolio Manager

William Real, CIM®

Wealth Advisor &
Portfolio Manager

Sylvia Cai, CIM®

Wealth Associate

Marc Smorenburg

Associate

Many of us are welcoming the longer and warmer days of summer, with the home-buying and cottage/cabin seasons now in full swing. The spring brought potential new challenges for those owning multiple properties due to proposed increases in the capital gains inclusion rate, reminding us that tax planning is a crucial part of wealth management. If you're facing an increased tax liability, this issue focuses on ways to manage the new rules.

We hope you'll spend some idle time enjoying the fleeting summer months. Please let us know if we can help with any investment-related matters.

—The Riedl Group

The Largest Wealth Transfer in History is Here

It's been termed the "greatest wealth transfer in history." As the last of the Baby Boomers reach the age of 60 this year, and the oldest approach 80, an estimated \$1 trillion of wealth has begun to change hands.¹

The boomers are now commonly referred to as the "luckiest generation" due to their significant leap in prosperity, benefitting from substantial price growth in the housing and financial markets. Consider that the average price of a Canadian home has risen about 800 percent since 1981, when most boomers were in their 20s and 30s — the prime years for household formation.² At that time, a house cost around \$75,000,³ though we mustn't forget that a five-year mortgage back then reached a crippling 21 percent! Over the same period, the S&P/TSX Composite Index Total Return has risen by more than 3,000 percent.⁴

While much of this wealth is anticipated to be passed along, some suggest that we are instead witnessing a shift in the spending habits of the boomers. The *Wall Street Journal* published an article late last year suggesting that U.S. boomers were the "economy's silver bullet," with increases in spending by retirees propping up economic growth to largely avert a recession.

Regardless of the extent to which wealth will transfer, the inevitable generational shift should prompt questions about our own wealth management. Are you prepared for this transition?

According to recent surveys, we may not be doing the best job. Studies continue to show that around one-half of Canadians still don't have a will; surprisingly, this hasn't changed over many decades. Only one-quarter of us appear to have a plan for our assets if we are unable to make financial decisions, and only 21 percent have had detailed discussions with beneficiaries or executors of their will.⁵ How about you?

Even if we do have a detailed plan to pass along our assets, many of us do not feel confident in the next generation's ability to preserve or grow their inheritance.⁶ The old "shirtsleeves to shirtsleeves" adage still holds true, suggesting that wealth gained by one generation is often lost by the third. The first works hard to accumulate wealth, the second benefits and maintains it and the third, having not experienced the hardships of wealth creation, ends up losing it. Planning ahead may be one way to mitigate this risk. Whether it is working alongside you to facilitate a generational wealth transfer plan or assisting younger folks with wealth management education or investing support, we are here to help.

Summer often affords us a bit more downtime, making it an opportune time to assess your own wealth transfer plan. If you've yet to give your estate plan the attention it deserves, why not make this a priority? It has the potential to enhance your overall wealth management and can be one of the greatest gifts you leave for your loved ones.

1. <https://financialpost.com/personal-finance/retirement/canadian-inheritances-could-hit-1-trillion-over-the-next-decade-and-both-bequeathers-and-beneficiaries-need-to-be-ready>; 2. Based on CREA April 2024 average national home price of \$703,446 and 1981 price of \$75,000. These figures are not adjusted for inflation, however consumer prices have risen about 200 percent over those 43 years; 3. <https://policyalternatives.ca/sites/default/files/uploads/publications/National%20Office/2010/08/Canadas%20Housing%20Bubble.pdf> (page 4); 4. S&P/TSX Composite Total Return Index 1/31/81: 2,658.85 and 1/31/24: 84,500.02; 5. <https://www.ig.ca/en/media-room/media-releases/ig-estate-planning-study-despite-aging-population-most-canadians-lack-estate-plan>; 6. <https://financialpost.com/personal-finance/family-finance/high-net-worth-families/most-high-net-worth-individuals-lack-inheritance-plan-despite-largest-transfer-of-wealth-coming-study>

Planning Ahead: A Rising Capital Gains Inclusion Rate*

It has been over 20 years since we've seen changes to the capital gains tax. Since late 2000, 50 percent (1/2) of realized capital gains have been subject to tax. As of June 25, 2024, the inclusion rate increases to 66.67 percent (2/3) for corporations and trusts, and on the portion of capital gains realized in the year that exceed \$250,000 for individuals.* The table shows the impact on a capital gain of \$500,000 for an individual (assuming no other gains). Are there ways to manage the potential tax bite? Here are a handful of ideas:


Weigh the benefits of a lower inclusion rate — Tax deferral is commonly viewed as a way to create greater returns since funds that would otherwise go to pay tax can remain invested for future growth. However, individuals may wish to evaluate the possibility of accelerated taxation at a lower rate versus deferred taxation at a higher rate: a higher inclusion rate for gains over \$250,000. For example, based on a capital gain of \$100,000 and a marginal tax rate of 48 percent, an investor would save \$8,000 in taxes by realizing a gain at the lower inclusion rate. Yet, this comes at the cost of “pre-paying” \$24,000 in capital gains tax today. If this amount was invested with a return of 6 percent per year, it would take 7 years of tax-deferred growth, based on a 2/3 inclusion rate, to beat the \$8,000 in tax savings.

Spread gains over multiple years — If possible, consider realizing gains over multiple years to take advantage of the lower inclusion rate (under \$250,000) versus a larger realized gain in a single year.

Crystallize gains — Deliberately selling and rebuying stocks to trigger a capital gain (“crystallizing”) can reset the book value over time. This strategy, often used in years when an investor is in a lower tax bracket, may help to capitalize on the lower inclusion rate each year.

Plan to cover increased tax liabilities — Plan ahead for an increased tax liability. The use of insurance or other planning techniques may be considered to cover the eventual higher tax liability, such as for the transfer of family property.

A History of Capital Gains Tax in Canada



Source: “A Primer on Capital Gains Taxes in Canada,” CBC, 10/18/2000.

Donate securities —

Assuming new rules apply to the deemed disposition of assets at death, if you're considering donations in estate planning, consider the use of publicly-listed securities to a registered Canadian charity as any accrued capital gain is excluded from taxable income and a donation receipt equal to the value of the donated securities is received.**

How Much More for a \$500,000 Gain?

Province	Tax Rate on Capital Gain* 1/2 Inclusion	2/3 Inclusion	Additional Tax
BC	26.75%	35.67%	\$22,292
AB	24.00%	32.00%	\$20,000
SK	23.75%	31.67%	\$19,792
MB	25.20%	33.60%	\$21,000
ON	26.76%	35.69%	\$22,304
QC	26.66%	35.54%	\$22,213
NB	26.25%	35.00%	\$21,875
NS	27.00%	36.00%	\$22,500
PEI	25.88%	34.50%	\$21,563
NL/LB	27.40%	36.53%	\$22,833

*For individuals based on top marginal tax rates, 01/01/24.

Business owners — Evaluate whether certain assets should be held in the corporation or owned personally. For corporations, there is no \$250,000 threshold; realized gains are taxable at a 2/3 inclusion rate. The use of corporate-owned insurance or an individual pension plan may be considerations for a business' tax strategy. Plan ahead to use deductions, such as the lifetime capital gains exemption, to reduce taxes payable on the disposition of qualified shares.

As always, seek advice from a tax expert regarding your situation.


*Note: At the time of writing, legislation has not been enacted.

**If managing over a lifetime, this applies to individuals not affected by the AMT.

The Increasing Cost of Living: A Taxing Time

While the growing cost of living continues to be top of mind for many, a differing perspective has emerged on our cost pressures. Despite the rising prices we see today, the proportion of income spent on necessities like food and clothing has declined substantially over time. In 1961, Canadians allocated one-third of family income to these

% of Income Spent on Necessities, 1930 to Today




costs; today, they make up less than 15 percent.

Instead, a recent report suggests that the burden of escalating expenses weighs more heavily on

taxes. The Canadian Consumer Tax Index tracks family expenditures on necessities (food, shelter, clothing) and taxes. Today, the average Canadian family spends 45.3 percent of income on total taxes (pie chart). Since 1961, there has been a 2,778 percent increase in the taxes we pay, far outpacing the 863 percent increase in the Consumer Price Index that measures changes in prices.¹


Who shoulders the heaviest tax burden? When comparing the share of tax paid to share of income, the highest-income earners do. The top 20 percent of income earners (family income over \$243,000) pay

Average Canadian Family's Tax Burden vs. Necessities, 1961 and 2022



61.9 percent of personal income taxes (PIT) but represent only 45.7 percent of total income. Every other income group pays a smaller share of PIT versus share of income.²

Share of PIT Paid & Income Earned by Quintile 2023



1. <https://www.fraserinstitute.org/studies/taxes-versus-necessities-of-life-canadian-consumer-tax-index-2023-edition>; 2. <https://www.fraserinstitute.org/studies/measuring-progressivity-in-canadas-tax-system-2023>

Your Home Is Not a Retirement Plan

Summer — the season for home sales — is here! With real estate prices continuing their rise, it may be tempting to see your home's value as a potential source of retirement income. However, when supporting clients in planning for retirement, it's generally not recommended to factor in a home's value as a primary part of that plan. While some homeowners consider downsizing as a way of unlocking retirement funds and others may look to borrow against their homes, there are reasons to exercise caution in relying on home equity for retirement. Here are a handful:

You may not move — If you are planning to sell your home and downsize, there is a good chance you may eventually decide not to move. Recent reports suggest seniors are now less likely to sell their homes before age 85; the sales rate among those ages 75 or more has been trending downward since the 1990s.¹ This may not be surprising. Selling a lifelong home can be more emotionally difficult than many anticipate. Many seniors remain in their dwellings to stay close to family, friends or their community and to maintain their sense of independence. Some have instead chosen to “downsize from the inside,” using only a portion of their homes to reduce costs like heating.

Low housing supply — Even if you do plan on downsizing or renting, will you be able to find suitable accommodation? While selling a home in this market may be easy, finding a suitable replacement may be more challenging given low inventories, including rental properties.

Moving can be expensive — The costs associated with moving homes may be greater than anticipated: real estate fees, lawyers'

fees, land transfer tax, staging and other expenses can add up to be significant. There may also be other unanticipated expenses that come with a new dwelling, such as maintenance, renovations and, if you end up in a condo, monthly management fees. All of these costs can erode the net financial gain by downsizing.



Higher interest rates — Recent reports suggest that around 25 percent of retirees carry mortgages as individual wealth has shifted to real estate.² Many mortgage holders have seen mortgages reset at higher rates, leading to lower disposable income, especially for those on fixed incomes. While it's possible to access home equity for retirement, consider that this has become more costly with rising rates. Reverse mortgages, although not common in Canada, may allow you to borrow against home equity (usually up to 55 percent) with minimal proof of income. Yet, reverse lenders charge very high rates and there are few large providers. More commonly, a home equity line of credit, often secured prior to retirement when income is high, allows you to draw on the line as needed and pay interest only on what you borrow.

These are just a handful of reasons to exercise caution when considering home equity for retirement. For a deeper discussion on this, or any other aspects of retirement planning, please call the office.

¹ “Canadian seniors not downsizing, partly owing to lack of options.” S. Peesker, *Globe & Mail*, 02/12/24; ² “Wealth tied up in real estate can hurt your retirement.” R. Carrick, *Globe & Mail*, 11/30/23, B10.

Timing Is Everything: Why Some Regret Taking Early CPP Benefits

With most Canadians choosing to start their Canada Pension Plan (CPP) benefits early, there's been growing attention to the potential advantages of waiting. Recall that starting CPP benefits before age 65 (as early as 60) decreases payments by 0.6 percent per month, whereas delaying beyond 65 increases payments by 0.7 percent per month, up to 42 percent (age 70). Actuarial studies continue to show that many people are better off delaying benefits as the break-even age* is often below the average life expectancy. Those who live past the break-even age will receive a higher overall benefit by waiting.

Of course, this decision is influenced by various factors beyond just life expectancy, such as immediate income needs. As more Canadians

CPP Timing: Change Your Mind?

If you start benefits and change your mind, you can cancel CPP within 12 months of its start. The cancellation must be in writing to Service Canada and you must pay back the benefits received.

work past age 65, the impact of retiring early, or late, should also be a consideration. Working past age 65 and delaying benefits can lead to a potentially greater benefit. This is because CPP benefits are generally calculated using the best 40 years of income,

usually between ages 18 and 65. Since lower-earning years tend to be at younger ages when first starting a career, extending the working years past age 65 may add higher-earning years to the calculation, thus increasing the benefit.

The good news? It doesn't work the other way: Any low-earnings years after age 65 will have no effect on the benefit calculation. Yet, if you

retire before 65 and wait to take benefits, the zero-earnings years can negatively impact the benefit. Retiring at 60 and waiting to collect CPP at 65 could potentially add five zero-earning years to the calculation.

Regrets, We've Had a Few...

Indeed, the old words of Frank Sinatra may be a reminder to carefully consider the timing decision. A recent article in the *Globe & Mail* highlighted Canadians who had “regrets” after starting benefits early:¹

Impact on survivor benefits — One widow discovered that starting her own CPP reduced her maximum entitlement from survivor benefits. She was also unaware that survivor benefits would change when she turned 65 and hadn't considered the impact of deferring her own benefits beyond that age.

Legacy considerations — A man who wasn't in immediate need of the funds wished he had delayed his CPP after realizing how much more he could have left for beneficiaries. One study suggests that taking CPP at age 60 instead of 70 can forgo \$100,000 of lifetime benefits.²

Inflation adjustments — Another retiree noted that had he waited, the multiplier for starting later would have further enhanced the inflation-indexed benefits.

Returning to work — One man who began receiving CPP at 60 and retired at 63 decided to return to work. He regretted starting early due to the taxes paid on CPP income during his subsequent employment.

*The age at which total benefits received by delaying payments exceed total benefits received by starting payments earlier. 1. <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/investing/globe-advisor/advisor-news/article-these-canadians-wish-they-had-waited-to-take-their-cpp-benefits-heres/>; 2. https://www.fpcanadaresearchfoundation.ca/media/5fpa5zww/cpp_qpp-research-paper.pdf

Increasing Capital Gains Inclusion Rate: Planning for a Cottage/Cabin

It is summer once again — and cottage and cabin season is well underway. However, this year has brought new potential challenges for cottage and cabin owners. Given proposed increases to the capital gains inclusion rate,* when the property is eventually transferred or sold, there is likely to be a higher tax liability. Some realtors claimed that the spring brought “chaos,” with many cottage owners rushing to sell ahead of the June 25 deadline for capital gains tax changes.¹

One of the most common issues that vacation property owners face is covering a potentially large capital gains tax liability triggered upon its transfer, especially if they wish to keep the property in the family. With real estate prices soaring, a cottage or cabin with a cost base of \$500,000 could easily be valued at \$1.5 million or more in today’s markets. Before the recent tax changes, only one-half of this potential \$1,000,000 capital gain would be subject to taxes. Now, for realized gains over \$250,000, two-thirds will be taxable. At the top marginal tax rate of 53.53 percent (using ON as an example), this change will result in an additional \$66,913 tax liability, with a total tax bill of \$334,563 or $(\$250,000 \times \frac{1}{2} + \$750,000 \times \frac{2}{3}) \times 53.53\%$ assuming no other realized gains. This is certainly not insignificant by any means.

As you think ahead to the eventual transfer or sale of a cottage or cabin, here are four things to consider:

Invest in life insurance — Insurance has traditionally served as a solution to cover such tax liabilities at death and may be a worthwhile consideration should you wish to leave the property for the next generation. This involves purchasing a policy with the death benefit equal to the expected tax bill. The proceeds will typically be paid tax free and may avoid probate fees (in provinces where applicable), allowing beneficiaries to cover the tax liability and keep the property in the family. You might even arrange it so that the annual premium cost is paid by the eventual beneficiaries.

Consider the Principal Residence Exemption (PRE) — If the property qualifies for the PRE, you may consider designating it as a principal residence. Since only one property can be designated in any given year, you will need to decide which to designate;

this needs to be determined at the time you dispose of any property you own. While the decision is rarely straightforward and often requires considering multiple factors, such as predictions about the future value of the remaining residence(s), generally, you should consider designating the property with the largest average capital gain per year to reduce the overall tax liability.



Transfer ownership over time, where possible — If you are passing along the family vacation home within the family, you may wish to consider transferring ownership over time, where possible, such as to children or other family members. At the time of transfer, a capital gain at fair market value would be triggered on only the portion of the property you transfer and taxes would be due. For instance, if you transfer half of the ownership in the above example over two different years, you could potentially take advantage of the lower inclusion rate for \$250,000 of capital gains each year. Using the 1/2 inclusion rate, instead of the 2/3 inclusion rate, could potentially save \$22,304 in taxes. However, be aware that there may be intricacies or other consequences that arise with a co-ownership arrangement, so a tax advisor should be consulted before engaging in this planning.

Keep track of capital improvements — Make sure to document all capital improvements such as renovations, additions or upgrades that increase the property’s value. Be sure to save receipts. These can be added to the property’s cost base, which can reduce the associated capital gains taxes owed when the property is eventually transferred or sold.

1. <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/business/article-its-chaos-cottage-owners-rush-to-sell-ahead-of-capital-gains-tax/>

*At the time of writing, the budget legislation has not been drafted or approved.

With the compliments of...

The Riedl Group, National Bank Financial Wealth Management

The Exchange Tower, 130 King Street West, Suite 3100, Toronto, Ontario M5X 1J9

TF: 1-800-636-3675 Fax: 416-869-1498

John Riedl, CFA®

Senior Wealth Advisor &
Portfolio Manager
416-507-9049
john.riedl@nbc.ca

Leigh Kershner, CIM®

Wealth Advisor &
Portfolio Manager
416-869-2993
leigh.kershner@nbc.ca

William Real, CIM®

Wealth Advisor &
Portfolio Manager
416-507-9010
william.real@nbc.ca

Sylvia Cai, CIM®

Wealth Associate
416-507-8898
sylvia.cai@nbc.ca

Marc Smorenburg

Associate
416-869-6785
marc.smorenburg@nbc.ca



Please note that comments included in this publication are not intended to be a definitive analysis of tax law. The comments contained herein are general in nature and professional advice should be obtained in respect of any person's specific circumstances. This newsletter has been prepared under contract for the Investment Advisor noted by J. Hirasawa & Associates and is published for general information only. Contents copyright by the publishers. The opinions, estimates and projections contained in this publication are those of the author as of the date indicated, are subject to change without notice and do not necessarily represent the opinions of National Bank Financial, its affiliates and subsidiaries. The information contained herein was obtained from sources which we believe to be reliable; however we cannot represent that it is accurate or complete. National Bank Financial is not a tax advisor and we recommend that clients seek independent advice on tax-related matters. Neither the information nor any opinion expressed constitutes a solicitation by us of the purchase or sale of any securities or commodities. These comments and opinions are not necessarily the opinions of National Bank Financial. Securities mentioned may not suit all types of investors. National Bank Financial may act as financial advisor, fiscal agent or underwriter for certain companies mentioned herein and may receive remuneration for its services. National Bank Financial is a member of CIPF and is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of National Bank of Canada. The National Bank of Canada is a public company listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange (NA:TSX).